Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/017,160	LI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jason M. Perilla	2611
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Jason M. Perilla</u> .	(3)	
(2) Anthony B. Diepenbrock.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 June 2006	Time: <u>3PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-13, 15, 18-27, 29-32, 34, 35, 37-57		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Applicant reviewed and approved a proposed Examiner's Amendment to place the case into direct condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
·		
OMM		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)